(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 26 July 2001 (26.07.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 01/53602 A1

(51) International Patent Classification7: 9/16, D21H 21/04

D21C 11/00,

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB01/00148

(22) International Filing Date: 16 January 2001 (16.01.2001)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

0001417.5

22 January 2000 (22.01.2000) · GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): RHO-DIA CONSUMER SPECIALTIES LIMITED [GB/GB]; 210-222 Hagley Road West, Oldbury, West Midlands B68 0NN (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): BOWDERY, Ruth, Elizabeth [GB/GB]; 510 Wolverhampton Road East, Wolverhampton, West Midlands WV4 6AP (GB). EDMUNDS, Stephanie [GB/GB]; 18 St Annes Road, Willenhall, West Midlands WV13 1ED (GB). TALBOT,

Robert, Eric [GB/GB]; 3 Meriden Close, Cannock, Staffordshire WS11 1QG (GB).

- (74) Agent: BARKER BRETTELL; 138 Hagley Road, Edgbaston, Birmingham B16 9PW (GB).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE; ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

203

(54) Title: BLEACHING PULP

(57) Abstract: Pulping liquors used in the bleaching of pulps by hydrogen peroxide, and containing catalase-producing bacteria and/or catalase enzyme are treated with tris (hydroxymethyl) phosphine or a tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium salt to kill the bacteria and destroy the enzyme.

BLEACHING PULP

This invention relates to bleaching of pulp by hydrogen peroxide and in particular to a method of treating pulping liquors by preventing or reducing the breakdown of peroxide by catalase.

Catalase is an enzyme that is produced by bacteria commonly found in pulp and paper mills. By consuming hydrogen peroxide, catalase can lower bleaching efficiency and decrease brightness levels of the finished paper.

It is known to kill catalase-producing bacteria by using a biocide such as glutaraldehyde.

The bactericidal efficacy of glutaraldehyde against catalase-producing bacteria present in pulp and water is known from US5728263. To be of use in pulp operations, a biocide must additionally be able to destroy the enzyme chemically.

It has now been found that tris (hydroxymethyl) phosphine and the tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium salts (referred to collectively herein as THP) are more effective than glutaraldehyde at killing catalase-producing bacteria.

It has also been found that THP can be used more efficiently than glutaraldehyde to chemically destroy catalase as well as to kill the bacteria that produce it.

The present invention provides a method of treating pulping liquors for use in the bleaching of pulp by hydrogen peroxide, said liquors containing catalase and/or catalase-producing bacteria, with a biocide which reduces

or destroys said catalase and/or said bacteria, characterised in that said biocide comprises tris (hydroxymethyl) phosphine (THP) or a tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium salt (THP salt).

Preferably, the THP salt is tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium sulphate (THPS).

Alternatively, the THP salt may be tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium chloride, phosphate, bromide, carbonate, acetate, citrate, formate, lactate or borate.

The THP or THP salt is preferably added to the pulping liquor at a concentration of from 5 to 1000ppm, desirably 10 to 200ppm, more usually 15 to 100ppm, especially 20 to 50ppm. The pH may be from 4 to 12, usually 5 to 10, eg: 7 to 9 in an alkaline pulping system, or 5 to 7 in an acid pulping system.

The invention is illustrated by way of the following examples:

EXAMPLE 1

- Experiments were carried out using a synthetic solution of catalase.
- The catalase concentration used was ~ 3ppm.
- Solutions were all buffered at pH 8 (the anticipated pH of the stock chest).
- Contact times of 5, 15 and 30 minutes were allowed.
- Experiments were carried out at 20°C and 45°C.
- Nominal biocide concentrations of 100ppm and 600ppm (ai) were used.
- Initial hydrogen peroxide concentration = 0.5 % w/w.

The experiments used a 75% wt on wt solution of tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium sulphate, sold commercially under the Registered Trade Mark **TOLCIDE PS75** and a 50% wt on wt solution of glutaraldehyde for comparison.

The principle of the experiments carried out was that when a solution containing active levels of the catalase enzyme is added to hydrogen peroxide, effervescence is observed as the reaction below is followed:-

 $2H_2O_2$ + Catalase \rightarrow O_2 + $2H_2O$

For the purpose of the experiments solutions of the catalase enzyme were contacted with either 100 or 300ppm (ai) of TOLCIDE® PS75 or glutaraldehyde for 5, 15 and 30 minute contact times. The catalase/biocide solution was then added to a fixed volume of 0.5 %w/w hydrogen peroxide and allowed to react. The residual concentration of hydrogen peroxide was quantified using a potassium permanganate titration and the % hydrogen peroxide remaining taken as a measure of the success of catalase destruction.

The results obtained are tabulated below in Table 1.

Table 1

Concentration of Biocide/Temperature	Contact Time (minutes)	% Hydrogen Peroxide Remaining		
°C		TOLCIDE® PS75	Glutaraldehyde	
	5	37	. <1	
600ppm/45°C	15	56	3	
	30	100	100	
	5	<1 .	<1	
100ppm/45°C	15	2	<1	
	30	76	37	
	. 5	22	<1	
600ppm/20°C	15	49	25	
	30	75 .	60	
	5	<1	<1	
100ppm/20°C	15	18	16	
	30	39	25	

In the absence of biocide treatment NO residual hydrogen peroxide was observed in the presence of catalase at a 3ppm level.

The experiments indicate that TOLCIDE® PS75 is superior to glutaraldehyde for catalase destruction.

EXAMPLE 2

Samples of de-inked pulp and pulper fill water were received from two deinking plants, samples 1 and 2. Control needs to be maintained over bacterial populations within these systems. Bacterial build-up in the re-cycled alkaline water, and contamination of the recycled fibre cause catalase levels to increase. The catalase breaks down peroxide in the helico pulper and stops the bleaching effect of the peroxide. It also means that maintenance of residual peroxide, which is required in the alkaline loop, is not possible.

Catalase is produced predominantly by general aerobic bacteria (GAB). During respiration, various toxic oxygen derivatives are produced within the bacterial cell, because of this, bacteria produce enzymes to destroy these toxic substances. The most common enzyme in this category is catalase, which breaks down hydrogen peroxide to oxygen and water.

As it is GAB which cause the problems of catalase build-up, quantitative suspension tests (QSTs) were carried out to compare the ability of THPS and glutaraldehyde to reduce the number of GAB present in the pulp/water samples provided.

An initial test was also carried out whereby mixed pulp/water samples, which had already been exposed to various concentrations of the test biocides, then had hydrogen peroxide added to them. The peroxide levels in these samples was monitored over one hour to gain an indication of the levels of catalase present by the rate of breakdown of hydrogen peroxide.

Before carrying out any efficacy tests, material from all of the pulp and water samples provided was plated out onto tryptone soya agar plates and incubated at 45°C, ie: plant operating temperature, for 1-2 days.

This was to ensure that the bacterial populations were similar both in appearance and, in the case of the water samples, in numbers.

All water samples were found to contain high levels of GAB, ie: in the order of 10⁷ cfu/ml. (cfu = colony forming units).

It was assumed that the concentration of the pulp samples provided was approximately 15%, therefore a combined pulp/water sample was prepared by diluting sample 1 pulp with sample 2 water at a ratio of 1 in 15 (w/w), thus giving a pulp concentration of approximately 1%, which could be handled relatively easily within these tests. This diluted pulp sample was thoroughly mixed and dispersed in 9.0g amounts into sterile universal bottles. These were then incubated at 45°C for 1 hour.

Immediately prior to beginning the test, stock solutions of TOLCIDE® PS75 and glutaraldehyde were prepared at the following concentrations in sterile WHO standard hardness water:

500, 1000, 2000 and 3000ppm product

At time zero, 1.0ml of 10 times the final required biocide concentration was added to 9.0g of the diluted pulp, so as to give the range:

50, 100, 200 and 300ppm product for PS75 and glutaraldehyde

To one 9.0g sample of diluted pulp, 1.0ml of sterile WHO water alone was added to act as a control.

All samples were then incubated at 45°C.

Total viable counts (TVCs) of surviving GAB were made on each sample after contact times of 30 minutes, 1 hour and 3 hours. In order to do this, serial dilutions were prepared from the samples by initially adding 1.0g of sample to 9.0ml EST biocide inactivating medium, mixing and allowing to stand for at least 5 minutes. Further serial dilutions were then made by removing 1.0ml and adding to 9.0ml sterile Ringers solution. From each dilution, 0.1ml was spread onto tryptone soya agar plates which were inverted and incubated at 45°C for 2 days prior to enumeration of colonies.

The above procedure for QST was repeated using pulp and water from sample 2. In this second QST, two additional samples were included in which 200ppm product of each biocide was tested. To prepare these samples, to 9.0g of chopped pulp, 1.0ml of 10 volume H_2O_2 (equating to approximately 0.3% in the pulp) was added and mixed as thoroughly as possible. 2.0g of this pulp was then added to 28g of water sample 2 and thoroughly mixed. This pulp dilution was then used for the additional samples in order to assess the potential effect of H_2O_2 on the performance of the biocides.

The results are shown in the following tables 2 to 5:

Tables 2 and 3 record TVCs in colony forming units per ml (cfu/ml) and log reductions for QSTs on diluted pulp prepared from samples 1 and 2 respectively.

Tables 4 and 5 summarise log reductions achieved by both biocides in samples 1 and 2 respectively.

QST Results comparing TOLCIDE® PS75 to Glutaraldehyde in Sample 1 Table 2:

Biocide ppm product Control 0						
		0.5		1.0	3	3.0
	ct TVC in cfu/ml	Log Reduction	TVC in cfu/ml	Log Reduction	TVC in	Log
20	4.6×10^7	•	6.7×10^{7}	4	8.0 × 10 ⁷	1
	1.69 × 10 ⁷	0.43	1.11 × 10 ⁶	1.78	1.5 x 10 ⁵	2.72
TOI CIDE® PS75	1.09 x 10 ⁵	2.62	1.01 × 10⁴	3.83	9.0 x 10 ²	4.95
200	2.8 x·10 ⁵	2.21	1.7 × 10 ³	4.60	8.0 × 10 ²	5.00
300	1.0 × 10⁴	3.66	3.4×10^{3}	4.30	1.3 × 10 ³	4.79
20	4.5 x 10 ⁷	0.01	2.99 × 10 ⁷	0.35	3.14 x 10 ⁶	1.40
100 Glufaraldebyde	1.09 x 10 ⁷	0.62	1.81 x 10 ⁶	1.57	1.4 × 10 ⁵	2.75
200	1.09 x 10 ⁶	1.62	3.6×10^{5}	2.27	1.9 × 10⁴	3.62
. 300	1.03 x 10 ⁵	2.65	4.1 x 10 ⁴	3.22	1.0 × 10 ³	4.90

QST Results comparing TOLCIDE® PS75 to Glutaraldehyde in Sample 2 Table 3:

				Contact Time (Hours)	me (Hours)		
Biocide	mdd	0	0.5	-	1.0	E.	3.0
	product	TVC in cfu/ml	Log Reduction	TVC in cfu/ml	Log Reduction	TVC in cfu/ml	Log Reduction
Control	0	5.3 x 10 ⁷	,	2.9×10^{7}	•	4.3 × 10 ⁷	•
	50	5.1 × 10 ⁶	1.01	1.9 x 10 ⁶	1.18	7.0 × 10 ⁵	1.78
	100	4.6 × 10 ⁵	2.06	2.0×10^5	2.16	4.3 × 10 ⁴	3.00
TOLCIDE® PS75	200	1.3 × 10 ⁵	2.61	3.4 × 10 ⁴	2.93	2.2 × 10⁴	3.29
	200P*	1.0 × 10 ⁵	2.72	1.6 × 10 ⁵	. 2.26	6.1 × 10⁴	2.84
	300	1.5 × 10 ⁵	2.54	5.8 × 10⁴	2.70	3.8 × 10⁴	3.05
	20	4.5 × 10 ⁷	0.07	3.5 × 10 ⁷	0	1.85 × 10 ⁷	0.36
	100	9.1 × 10 ⁶	92.0	6.7×10^{6}	0.63	4.1 × 10 ⁶	1.02
Glutaraldehyde	. 200	2.83×10^{6}	1.27	1.21 x 10 ⁶	1.38	2.7×10^{6}	1.20
= .==	200P*	3.0 × 10 ⁶	1.24	6.4 × 10 ⁵	1.65	2.9 × 10 ⁵	2.17
	300	1.9 × 10 ⁶	1.44	1.15 x 10 ⁶	1.40	8.1 × 10 ⁵	1.72

Approximately 0.3% H₂O₂ had been added to the pulp in these samples before it was diluted with water.

Table 4: Summary of Log Reductions from QSTs on Sample 1

	Conc	Contact Time (Hours)			
Biocide	ppm	0.5	1.0	3.0	
	product	Log Reduction	Log Reduction	Log Reduction	
	50	0.43	1.78	2.72	
TOLCIDE [©] PS75	100	2.62	3.83	4.95	
TOLCIDE 1373	200	2.21	4.60	5.00	
	300	3.66	4.30	4.79	
	50	0.01	0.35	1.40	
Glutaraldehyde	100	0.62	1.57	2.75	
Glotaraiderryde	200	1.62	2.27	3.62	
	300	2.65	3.22	4.90	

Table 5: Summary of Log Reductions from QSTs on Sample 2

	Conc	Contact Time (Hours)			
Biocide	ppm	0.5	1.0	3.0	
	product	Log Reduction	Log Reduction	Log Reduction	
	50	1.01	1.18	1.78	
	100	2.06	2.16	3.00	
TOLCIDE® PS75	200	2.61	2.93	3.29	
	200P*	2.72	2.26	2.84	
	300	2.54	2.70	3.05	
	50	0.07	0	0.36	
	100	0.76	0.63	1.02	
Glutaraldehyde	200	1.27	1.38	1.20	
	200P*	1.24	1.65	2.17	
	300	1.44	1.40	1.72	

^{*} Approximately $0.3\%\ H_2O_2$ had been added to the pulp in these samples before it was diluted with water

Results of these tests suggest that after a 1 hour 15 minute biocide contact time, THPS has reduced the population of catalase producing bacteria more effectively than glutaraldehyde. Results of both QSTs confirm this.

By looking at Tables 4 and 5, log reductions achieved by both biocides in each QST can be easily compared.

TOLCIDE® PS75 performs better against the indigenous GAB than does glutaraldehyde, particularly at the shorter contact times.

CLAIMS

- 1. A method of treating pulping liquors for use in the bleaching of pulp by hydrogen peroxide, said liquors containing catalase and/or catalase-producing bacteria, with a biocide which reduces or destroys said catalase and/or said bacteria, characterised in that said biocide comprises tris (hydroxymethyl) phosphine (THP) or a tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium salt (THP salt).
- 2. A method according to Claim 1, characterised in that the THP salt is tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium sulphate.
- 3. A method according to Claim 1, characterised in that the THP salt is tetrakis (hydroxymethyl) phosphonium chloride, phosphate, bromide, carbonate, acetate, citrate, formate, lactate or borate.
- 4. A method according to Claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that the THP or THP salt is added to the pulping liquor at a concentration of from 5ppm to 1000ppm.
- 5. A method according to Claim 4, characterised in that said concentration is from 10ppm to 200ppm.
- 6. A method according to Claim 4 or 5, characterised in that said concentration is from 15ppm to 100ppm.
- 7. A method according to Claim 4, 5 or 6, characterised in that said concentration is from 20ppm to 50ppm.
- 8. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the pH of the pulping liquor is from 4 to 12.

- 9. A method according to Claim 8, characterised in that the pH is from 5 to 10.
- 10. A method according to Claim 8 or 9, characterised in that the pH is from 7 to 9 in an alkaline pulping system.
- 11. A method according to Claim 8 or 9, characterised in that the pH is from 5 to 7 in an acid pulping system.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In: .ional Application No PCT/GB 01/00148

A. CLASS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER						
IPC 7	D21C11/00 D21C9/16 D21H2	21/04					
According t	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national cla	ssification and IPC					
	SEARCHED						
IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by class D21C D21H	,					
	ilion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent	·					
Electronic d	data base consulted during the international search (name of da	ta base and, where practical, search terms use	ed)				
PAJ, E	PO-Internal, WPI Data						
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	A relevant passage	T				
	and appropriate, of the	e reievani passages	Relevant to claim No.				
X	WO 99 33345 A (JONES CHRISTOPH ;ALBRIGHT & WILSON UK LTD (GB) 8 July 1999 (1999-07-08) page 1, line 9 page 2, paragraph 4	ER RAYMOND ; TALBOT R)	1-11				
·	page 9, paragraph 4						
X	EP 0 385 801 A (ALBRIGHT & WILS 5 September 1990 (1990-09-05) page 3, paragraph 5 page 5, paragraph 8	SON LIMITED)	1-11				
X	WO 96 14092 A (GRACE W R & CO) 17 May 1996 (1996-05-17) claims 1,3		1-11				
ĺ		-/					
		,					
X Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed	in annex.				
° Special cate	egories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the intel					
"A" documer conside	nt defining the general state of the art which is not ered to be of particular relevance	or priority date and not in conflict with a cited to understand the principle or the	the application but				
'E' earlier do	ocument but published on or after the international	invention 'X' document of particular relevance; the cl					
"L" documen which is	of which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or scied to establish the publication date of another	involve an inventive step when the doc	be considered to ument is taken alone				
citation	or other special reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cl. cannot be considered to involve an invited to invi	entive stan when the				
"P" documen	eans It published prior to the international filing date but an the priority date claimed	document is combined with one or more ments, such combination being obvious in the art.	s to a person skilled				
	ctual completion of the international search	'&' document member of the same patent fa					
21	March 2001	28/03/2001	ch report				
Name and ma	ailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer					
	European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl. Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Authorized officer Naes lund, P						

2

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int .ional Application No PCT/GB 01/00148

A US 5 728 263 A (ZELLER DIETER ET AL) 17 March 1998 (1998-03-17) cited in the application column 1, paragraphs 2,3 A WO 94 09360 A (SHARMAN DENNIS FRANK; HILL MARTYN WILLIAM (6B); CTS BIOCIDES LTD () 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) A GB 938 990 A (ALBRIGHT & WILSON LIMITED) 9 October 1963 (1963-10-09)			PCI/GB 0	1/ 00146
A US 5 728 263 A (ZELLER DIETER ET AL) 17 March 1998 (1998-03-17) cited in the application column 1, paragraphs 2,3 A WO 94 09360 A (SHARMAN DENNIS FRANK; HILL MARTYN WILLIAM (6B); CTS BIOCIDES LTD () 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) A GB 938 990 A (ALBRIGHT & WILSON LIMITED) 9 October 1963 (1963-10-09)				Relevant to claim No.
17 March 1998 (1998-03-17) cited in the application column 1, paragraphs 2,3 MO 94 09360 A (SHARMAN DENNIS FRANK; HILL MARTYN WILLIAM (GB); CTS BIOCIDES LTD () 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) GB 938 990 A (ALBRIGHT & WILSON LIMITED) 9 October 1963 (1963-10-09)	J.101	appropriate the second		
MARTYN WILLIAM (GB); CTS BIOCIDES LTD () 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) GB 938 990 A (ALBRIGHT & WILSON LIMITED) 9 October 1963 (1963-10-09)		7 March 1998 (1998-03-17) ited in the application	x.	1-11
9 October 1963 (1963–10–09)	1	ARTYN WILLIAM (GB); CTS BIOCIDES LTD ()	•	
		·		
		·		*
				·
l ''		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

2

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1992)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

In .ional Application No PCT/GB 01/00148

	Datas		T			01/00148
	Patent documen ted in search rep		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
W	0 9933345	Α	08-07-1999	AU	2275399 A	19-07-1999
				EP	1041885 A	11-10-2000
_				NO	20003267 A	02-08-2000
Ε	P 0385801	Α	05-09-1990	AT	99867 T	15-01-1994
				AU	622984 B	30-04-1992
				AU	5060590 A	06-09-1990
				BR	9001023 A	26-02-1991
				CZ	9001037 A	15-04-1998
				DE DE	69005855 D 69005855 T	24-02-1994
				DK	385801 T	23-06-1994
				ES	2062333 T	14-02-1994 16-12-1994
				FΙ	95192 B	29-09-1995
•				· GB	2228680 A,B	05-09-1990
				HU	54027 A,B	28-01-1991
				ΙE	64406 B	09-08-1995
				JP	2273605 A .	08-11-1990
				JP	2510748 B	26-06-1996
				KR MX	169476 B 173947 B	15-01-1999
				NO	173947 B 178131 B	11-04-1994
				NZ	232745 A	23-10-1995 26-11-1991
				PL	163725 B	29-04-1994
				PT	93333 A,B	07-11-1990
				SK	103790 A	05-08-1998
				SU	1838322 A	30-08-1993
				· US	5385896 A	31-01-1995
				YU ZA	42490 A 9001553 A	31-10-1991 28-12-1990
WC	9614092	- -	17-05-1996	 AU	696309 B	
				AU	4014795 A	03-09-1998 31-05-1996
				BR	9509598 A	06-01-1998
				CA	2204279 A	17-05-1996
				CZ	9701354 A	13-08-1997
				EP	0789595 A	20-08-1997
		•		FI JP	971852 A 10509141 T	30-04-1997
				NO	972002 A	08-09-1998 02-07-1997
				NZ	296363 A	29-04-1999
US	5728263	Ą	17 - 03-1998.	FI	93031 B	31-10-1994
	•	,		AT	198588 T	15-01-2001
				CA	2165347 C	07-10-1997
				CN	1125430 A	26-06-1996
				DE	69426566 D	15-02-2001
				EP ES	0710214 A	08-05-1996
				FI	2152980 T 956062 A	16-02-2001
٠				wo	9500439 A	15-12-1995 05-01-1995
				JP	2883205 B	19-04-1999
				JP	8511586 T	03-12-1996
WO	9409360 	Α	28-04-1994	AU	5373394 A	09-05-1994
0.0	938990	Α		СН	378277 A	31-01-1964
GB				CH	379902 A	21 01-1304

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

ional Application No. PCT/GB 01/00148

Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
	DE 1282598 B FR 1329806 A NL 135656 C NL 279757 A US 3236676 A	16-12-1963 22-02-1966	
		DE 1282598 B FR 1329806 A NL 135656 C NL 279757 A	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)